

Phosphate availability and green manures

Christine Watson and the "PLINK" Team









From Cornish (2009)

A spectrum of P management options



| PHYSICAL | Good soil structure |
|------------|--|
| CHEMICAL | Phosphate source (fertilizer) pH |
| BIOLOGICAL | Add enzymes |
| | Add fungi (increase effective root length) |
| | Plant efficiency (varieties, root architecture, translocation, phosphatases, anion secretion etc) |
| ECOSYSTEM | Rotations, green manures, cover crops, long pastures |

Adapted from Conyers & Moody (2009)



CROP ROOT SYSTEMS (Weaver 1926; Weaver & Bruner 1927)



(MLURI W Towers)



Root hairs and P uptake of barley varieties in the field





Days after germination

So can we design rotations to use P efficiently?





Green manures/cover crops



- Traditionally regard them as either adding N (through fixation) or preserving N (preventing leaching)
- But they also have potential as biological engines for P – they don't add P but they can change both the amount and forms of P for the following crop

Rotational Aspects



- Autumn sown green manures (GM)
 - GM crops chosen for their perceived ability to liberate P
 - GM established in the autumn
 - Incorporated prior to spring crop
- Spring sown crops
 - Crops grown for their perceived ability to liberate P and use it directly themselves
 - Potential P benefits later in the rotation

Autumn sown green manures



GM yield in spring (Dry tonnes / ha)

GM P Uptake (kg / ha)

| Сгор | +PR | -PR | % Change from -PR | Сгор | +PR | -PR | % Change from -PR |
|-------------------|------|------|-------------------------|-------------------|------|------|-------------------------|
| Fallow | 0.70 | 0.46 | 152 | Fallow | 2.32 | 1.39 | 167 |
| Mixed Brassica | 0.78 | 0.51 | 152 | Mixed Brassica | 2.49 | 1.57 | 159 |
| Field Beans | 0.98 | 0.82 | 120 | Field Beans | 3.39 | 2.77 | 122 |
| Forage Rape | 0.58 | 0.37 | 157 | Forage Rape | 1.91 | 1.14 | 168 |
| Forage Rye | 0.68 | 0.48 | 142 | Forage Rye | 2.09 | 1.32 | 158 |

Data from Abbey Home Farm site

Spring sown crops







Windshiel Farm

Buckwheat

Spring sown crops: Crop biomass





Data from Windshiel Farm

Spring sown crops: P uptake





Data from Windshiel Farm

Compost field trial 2009









- Composting started 16th January 2009
 - Organic Recycling Limited on concrete pad
 - uncovered
 - PAS100 standard
 - Substrate
 - •2 tonnes wheat straw
 - •6 tonnes cabbage leaf and brussel sprout waste
 - PR treatments (granular formulation)
 - •0 kg (-PR) and 250 kg (+PR)

Application of compost to trials



- Plot size 120 m²
- 96 kg compost applied per plot
 - Based on 8 t/ha application rate
 - Estimated to supply 100 kg P per ha (+PR) and 20 kg P per ha (-PR) based on previous data
- Total P actually applied (kg P per ha)
 - From compost
 - Around 50% of expected amount (due to losses)
 - From PR ~ 72 kg P per ha

Composting 2009



- Predicted P in compost not as high in reality
 - variability shown between batches sent to each site, although all from same compost
 - Total P as well as AEM P
- Factors that influenced P?
 - Environment
 - Temperature
 - Rainfall
 - Chemistry
 - Ca / P interactions

Compost field trial



- Windshiel
 - Farmer's crop: Barley silage mixture



Summary: Compost



- Co-compositing can have beneficial effects on P availability
 - Results not always consistent
 - Longer term benefits may be possible
- Adding more PR to compost does not always increase P availability in the short term
 - AEM-P affected by citric acid levels
 - High CA levels can reduce AEM-P
 - Importance of Ca?

So, what did we learn?



- Crop choice influences P use
- Green manures and cover crops are not only about N
- P from PR can be available in short term even a 10% increase in available P can make a difference
- Crops good at extracting P e.g. buckwheat may have other benefits e.g. attracting pollinators
- Think about intercropping options use your imagination!

Acknowledgements



•Sponsors:

Defra Sustainable Arable LINKScottish Government RERAD



•SAC

Newcastle University

- •Dr Tony Edwards
- Prof David Atkinson
- •Mr Johnny Johnston

Industry Partners:

- •Bulmer Foundation
- •SOPA
- Soil Association
- •J & H Bunn Ltd
- •Tio Ltd
- •Abbey Home Farm
- •The Leen
- •Windshiel Farm
- Organic Recycling Ltd
- •Organic Farm Foods Ltd
- •Organic Green Orchards Ltd
- Mark Measures Associates



Svccess through Knowledge

Amounts of organic matter returned by crop residues



| | kg/ha | Estimated P kg/ha | % increase in top 20cm soil BEFORE decomposition |
|---------------|-------|----------------------|---|
| 1 yr ley | 4900 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 3 yr ley | 7850 | 8 | 0.4 |
| Winter cereal | 2400 | 2.5 | 0.1 |
| Spring cereal | 1400 | 1.5 | <0.1 |
| Red clover | 2200 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Potatoes | 300 | 0.5 | <0.1 |
| 10t FYM | 4300 | 6 | 0.2 |

Residue figures from Davies et al. 1972